UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD

Plaintiff,

Docket No. 08 CV 00539(LAK) (HP)

-against-

WESTWOOD DESIGN/BUILD INCORPORATED, DAVID R. WARFIELD, NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE, INC. and PENN LYON HOMES CORPORATION

NOTICE OF MOTION

Defendants.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the annexed affirmation of Scott H. Goldstein, Esq. affirmed on August 5, 2008 and the exhibits attached thereto, the accompanying Memorandum of Law in support of this Motion, and the pleadings herein, plaintiff/defendant will move this Court, before Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan, J.S.C. United States District Judge, for an Order pursuant to Rules 6(b)(2), 56(f), 59(e), 60 (b)(1) and (6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 6.3 granting the following relief:

- 1) Vacatur of the final judgment entered against defendant PLH on plaintiff Ouantum Corporate Funding, Ltd's ("Quantum") motion for summary judgment which was heard and decided before PLH filed its opposition thereto; and
- 2) Upon vacatur of final judgment, denial of plaintiff's summary judgment motion on the merits:
- 3) Reconsideration of the Court's Order granting summary judgment against PLH and in favor of Quantum, and upon reconsideration, denial of Quantum's motion for summary judgment;

- Reconsideration of the Court's Order granting summary judgment against 3) PLH and in favor of Quantum, and upon reconsideration, denial of Quantum's motion for summary judgment;
- 4) In the alternative, PLH requests an extension of time to file opposition to plaintiff's summary judgment motion out of time pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 6(b)(2); and/or;
- 5) an adjournment of plaintiff's motion for summary judgment to allow the parties to engage in discovery before PLH has to file its substantive opposition to the motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f); and
 - such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. 6)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: New York, New York August 5, 2008

Scott H. Goldstein Esq.

BONNER KIERNAN TREBACH

& CROCIATA, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

Penn Lyon Homes Corporation

Empire State Building – Suite 3304

New York, New York 10118

Tel # (212) 268-7535

Fax # (212) 268-4965

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 3 of 67

EXHIBIT A

12/20/2007 17:25 PAX 212 388 5519

CORPORATE OFFICE

Transaction# 15014 Client# 4005 Rebate Class	Advt Advt Con House (1940)
Transpaction# 1501 Client# 1000 Rebace Class PURCHASE & SALE AGREEMENT In consideration of the 80m of 6	1 120 731.72 to Westwood Deagn
In consideration of the sum of 6 **********************************	notwood Besien Suild Incorporated

receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the undersigned Restwood Braign Build Incorporated

("Seller") hereby calls, transfers and assigns to quantum Corporate Standing, Ltd. ("Furchaser"), its successors and assigns all Seller's right, title and interest in and to Seller's account(s) receivable (including the proceeds of any surety, payment bond or guaranty thereof) owing by various account debtors described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made part barcof, together with all rights of action accrued at a corrust thereon, including, without limitation, full power to collect, sue for, compromise, assign, or in any other manner enforce collection thereof in Purchaser's name or otherwise.

Soller represents, warrants and agrees that:

- (a) The Seller is the sole and absolute owner of said account(s), and has full legal right to make said sale, assignment, and transfer, and that said sale transfer or assignment does not conflict with the terms of any other agreement, or instrument to which Seller is a party.
- (c) Said account(s) are presently due and owing to Seller and the amount(s) thereof are not and will not be in dispute; and the payment of said account(s) are not and will not be contingent upon the fulfillment of thip, or any other contrast(s), pest or future.
- (d) There are not and will not be any set-offs or counterclaims against said account(s), and usid account(s) have not been previously assigned or encumbered by Saller in any manner whatsoever.
- (c) Purchaser has right of endorsement on all payments received in connection with said account(s), and Seller hereby appoints Purchaser its attorney in fact for said purpose. In order to accommodate the accounting needs of its clients, Seller hereby directs purchaser, or agent, to accept and deposit all checks received from sellors clients whether or not they represent assigned accounts.
- (f) Seller will promptly advise Surchaser, in writing, if Seller's place of business and recommunication changed or a new place is added.
- (g) At Purchasers request, Sellox hereby agrees to file a Notice of Mechanics bien on any real property improvement upon which it has performed labor or furnished materials, the account for which labor and/or materials it has assigned to Furchaser. In the event Sellor fails to promptly comply with such request, Seller does hereby constitute and appoint Purchaser as its agent to execute and file in the name of Seller Notice of Mechanic's Lien to the extent of the debt due from the account debtor for and on account of such labor and material. In connection with the filing of such Notice of Mechanic's Lien, the Seller agrees to periodically savise the Purchaser of the semunt or amounts owed by the occount debtor in connection with each real improvement so that the Notice of Mechanic's Lien will be accurate in all respects. Seller further agrees not to provide the account debtor with a 'Waiver of Right to File a Notice of Mechanic's Lien" without the prior written consent of Purchaser.
- [h] Should any of the varianties expressed by Seller be inaccurate and it becomes uscossary for Purchaser to utilize an attorney to enforce its rights against Seller, Seller agrees that such attorney team shall be borne by Seller.
- (1) Purchaser further agrees that if the amount referred to in (b) above (or any portion thereof) is not paid by the account debtor(s) thereof for any reason other than a breach of the representations, warranties and covenants contained herein, Seller shall not be liable to repay to Purchaser any amount paid by Purchaser to Seller in consideration of the sale, transfer and assignment berein contained.
- (j) Purchaser warrants that it will use its best efforts to collect the amounts due under this agreement and Seller agreed that Purchaser may, in its sole discretion, settle, compromise, or otherwise accept payment of less than the full amount, if in its judgement such action is necessary to offset collection, by reason of a violation of any of the representations and warranties concained in this agreement.
- (k) In the event it should become necessary for the Purchaser to enforce its rights becomed against Seller, the Guaranter(s) or the Account Debtor(s), Seller agrees that Purchaser may apply up to maximum of thirty third and one third (33 1/3%) of clause (b) for Purchaser's attorney's face therefor.
- of thirty third and one third (33 1/3%) of clause (b) for Purchagor's attorney's face therefor.

 (l) To secure the representations and dovenants made by Seller in this agreement, but not the credit risk of the Account(s), Seller hereby grants to Purchaser a continuing accurity interest in all present property and fixtures in which Seller has an interest, now or hereafter existing or acquired, and whereaccer located, tangible or intengible, including but not limited to, all present or hereafter existing or acquired tools, goods, (including without limitedium, all equipment), inventory, furniture, receivables, accounts, accumity agreements, notes, bills, acceptances, instruments, installment paper, chattel paper, documents, certificates of deposit, tax refunds, insurance proceeds, conditional sale or least contracts, dash or cash equivalence, chattel mortgages or dasks of trust general intengibles, all intellectual property including, without limitation patents, trademarks and copyrights (and applications for all of the foregoing), contract rights, and all other propenses or duty to pay mousy, now or hereafter owned or acquired by Seller (including without limitation all rights of Seller as an unpaid vendor), and all proceeds and collections thereof, all guarantees and other security therefor, and all right, title and interest of Seller in any returned reposagesed, rejected or un-shipped goods, together with all of Sellers books of accounts, ladger cards and records, all vehicles, all computer programs, and systems owned or operated in consciont forewith, all of the above securing present and future advances and all proceeds, products, raturns add-one, accessions and substitutions of soil to pay any of the foregoing. Further to this purpose, Saller hereby grants Furchasor and its generations of Actorney to sign it's name on any applicable financing statements (UCC') and otherwise in order to effectuate filling(s) on the aforementioned assets of celler, Upon any default in Sellers with the provisions of the Unifor

(n) Furchaser shall have the right to deduct the amount of any allowance(s), discount(s), returns(s), (m) Purchaser shall have the right to deduct the angunt of any allowance(s), discount(s), returns(s), defence(s), or offset(s) taken by the Account Debtor(s) from any other accounts receivable or other billing rights purchased by purchaser from Seller or demand reimbursament from Seller based upon representations made by Seller in this agreement as to the Account and Purchaser shall have such other rights and remedies against Seller as shall be available to Purchaser at law or in equity, all of which rights and remedies are hereby expressly reserved.

\[
\text{Quascomplexical purchase. Mgc}
\]

\[
\text{Quascomplexical purchase. Mgc}
\]

\[
\text{Purchase PAX THIS PADE BACK ALSO}
\]

12/20/2007 16:45

12/20/2007 17:30

4108591196 41 MRI 32828

WESTWOOD CUSTOM HOME

PAGE 01/02 PAGE 01

12/20/2007 17:38 FAT 212 398 8610

CORPORATE OFFICE

Pions

(0) The Account(a) shall he the property of Purchasor, spelar and shall be uplleded by Purchasor. Due if for any reason may severate a best of paid to salidat, seller shall noticy furchasor by if for any reason may severate a bould be paid to salidat, seller shall noticy furchaser inserting the payment of purchaser, and shall not the payment of worky to reneived in trust for the payment of worky to reneived in trust for the payment of and a trust to the checket of purchaser, and shall not done the payment and trustents that the first payment of purchaser is study at its office within 18 days to the the factor for the payment of the payment and trustents that the payment are the payment and payment the payment and payment and payment the payment and payment the payment and payment and payment the payment that the payment that the payment the payme

· (p) The paramaphs of this Agreement are egreenble, and is the event that any paramaph or muttion of this Agreement is declared fliegal or unaddecouple, the remainder of this Agreement will be effective and binding upon the parameter.

(q) raid agreement shall he governed by the laws of the State of Now York applicable to contract executed is and to be perfected soluty within the State of Now Tork. Gallar harmly substitute, at the election of Furtheast, to personal jurisdiction in the State of New York for the enforcement of his laws of any Straigh agreement or any clean(s) becauses, and hereby waived any and all rights under the laws of any Straight in jurisdiction to object to such jurisdiction. Any claim by salier against Furtheasur shall be brought in the terms of New York andy. In any suit or proceeding relating to this Agreement, the parties mutually waive trial by jury.

AN STATE OF THE PARTY STATES THAT THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY STATES BOTTOM AND THE BEST

(B) soller bereity grants franch historisty to sign it's page on any somme required by any povermental existion (including but not limited to Blumberg rest's or similarly required government force) for the purpose of performing the perioded of an exciptions and traveler of payment to Burchaner and/or to recover from a breach of the security agreement provided for in [1] above.

Solier (abkingen) Covenants that he will receive any moneys advensed harmened as bross runds to be first applied to the following expenditures existing out of the improvement of test property and incurred in the performance of acids coderately

All Payment of sigion of subcontractors, archinecus, engineers, excreyors, laborner and material many

playment of the mount of terms based to pryoble including such persons and withheld or required to be winnesd or required to be winnesd or required to be winnesd or required to be included and taxes based on the purchase pulso or value of establish or furnished in equipment required to be included or furnished in equipment required to be included or furnished in equipment sequired.

Claryment of taxes and inemployment insurance and other - thinitions due by wakeon of the amployment and of which such sixing axes,c;

pleasurement of any benefits or range anyplements, or the annumen nocomeasy to provide such benefits or furnished even supplements, to the extent that the triston, as employer, is obtigated to pay or provide such benefits on Kurnish such supplements by any apparate to which be in a poxty.

provident of premiums on a surgety bond or bonds filled and premiums on insurance accrued during the waking of the improvision.

pothing in this devenue shall be considered as imposing upon the Perchasta (amigned any obligation to see to the proper application of moneys advanced under such assignment by the senignes.

HELDER MINUTES TO ROLD DURCHASHE FORMINESS BRUN ANY BREACH OD BELLDAIS BERNHAMINGTON, WITHOUT AND ALBERTATION, AND RECEIVED OR COUNTERCLASION.

ACCEPTED AND AMBRED

TO ALLE AND ALLE A Pesident

Affirmation And Cortification: State of County of Sworn to before me this

day of

20__

Signicura of Potary Public

HI ARE FUNCTIONS CONTRIDED THE ORDING OF ACCOUNTS ADDICED HEADY FOR THE PRICE SHRUTTED ON THE PRIVATE OF THE PRICE SHRUTTED OF THE PRIVATE OF THE PRICE SHRUTTED OF THE PRICE SHRUTTED OF THE PRICE SHRUTTED OF THE PRICE SHRUTTED AS THE FIRST THAT HAVE SHAPE SHRUTTED AS THE PRICE SHAPE SHOW THAT DO UNIVERSAL AND THE PRICE SHAPE OF THE PRICE SHAPE SHAPE SHE PRICE SHAPE SHAP

T# 25914

WI. par Burdland of The Schools Assues. promines of the male been minted. I be in days IN, THE MARKET OF THE ASSESSMENT ANGUING. secounts at the min raid within 31 to 40 days Mr. yer windred of The Polyme America. Posts of the middle back with the po days 21. per purchased of the Account Assesse. Assumpts of the Bulk brid within 51 to an days 3 annuares of the faith rate within all to 70 aum 8 21. may resided of the America America, 25, par fluctuated at the Recognit Semine. Aproporties of the Built to lit within 71 to 25 days I HE SAN HANDERED OF YEAR SAMPLES AND MANUAL numbers of the beam enter weenty by to to days sq. per mented of the secount house, Accorded of the suit with with as an ido down IV. pur bindred of the Assent Amount. Addressed and Time Brills passe without 182 by 728 stays 4 IR. per suspend of the Renkint Assunt. Accounted to the Bull burn wishin 111 to 144 days A 17, ple Hardred of the stateme Aspent. description of the main roll making upl by hen days 14. For Bundami of for Account amount. Accounts se the bulk said winnes in the the days 15. FOR MUNICES OF THE BARROWS REVIUEL. Accounts of the mick rold sights 141 to the days M. per Hongard of The Account Assumb. Accounts of The Bair part within 151 to jus says F 15. per period of the termink prount. ACCOUNTS OF THE PICK PAIN WEEKIN 144 to 170 days account

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 6 of 67 Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK Document 37 Filed 07/08/2008 Page 20 of 50

12/18/2007 17:33 4108132828 WESTWOOD CUSTOM HOME

PAGE 03

12/18/2007 16:27

4108591196

WESTWOOD CUSTOM HOME

PAGE 02/02 PAGE WI

12/18/2007 17:21 12/16/2007 17:11 FAX 212 768 1224

4100132828

QUANTUM FUNDING_

4002

1 /18/07

EXHIBIT A TO: PURCHASE & SALE AGREEMENT

BETWEEN

QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD (PURCHABER)

Westwood Dazign Build Incorporated

(Seller).

Transaction#

25914

Clitran#:

Newpowers :

) there involved Trap form Depen und nobiced Chidados Comparelleros \$.0.5

Concept invoice Assume الطمتي

: /19/07 10084

48 Matical

BX1 32772.

-tes\$347,000,00

CILA Montgage Doors

Construction DIAN,

ಕ್ಷತ್ತು ಕ್ಷಮಿಕ್ಷ

Pullydáta

annidente.

Pollyddig achil a

Anlivaxy-480093

920JC. ರಬಾಶಿಸಿಕರು delivery

Ukraliqueo אווי שיווי שיוויכווני

eving

41494445347,744,14

70549;

) Trangastion4: 19931

TOTAL INVOICES;

\$347.000.09

Seller hereby verifies, they accuracy of the above:

By

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 7 of 67

EXHIBIT B



INVOICE

Date	invoice#
12/18/2007	10084
1	<u> </u>

REMIT TO:

Westwood Design/Build Inc. P.O. Box 105 Beltsville, MD 20704

BILL TO:	
National City Mortgage/National City Bank Christopher Washburn, Vice President 9852 Walker Drive, Suite 400 Greenbelt, MD 20770	

	Terms	P.O. No.	PRO	JECT	
:	Net 45		Pollydore	ore Residence	
Quantity	Description		Rate	Amount	
·	Construction Draw Pollydore Module Delivery - #00 Curbside Delivery Completed Ar	05351534 mount Due and Owing	347,000.00 0.00	347,000.00 0.00	
	e de la companya de l		·		
	This invoice has assigned and tra Quantum Corporat	s been sold, ansferred to: e Funding, Ltd.		,	
	Make all checks payed Quantum Corporate 1140 Avenue of the Am New York, New	able and mail to: ⇒ Funding, Ltd. nericas, 16th Floor York 10036			
		•			
	·				
dules Delivered			Total	\$347,000.0	

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 9 of 67

EXHIBIT C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD.,	Civil Action Desket No. 08 CV00520G AKVGIRD	
Plaintiff,	Docket No. 08 CV00539(LAK)(HBP)	
-against-	AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR	
WESTWOOD DESIGN/BUILD INCORPORATED, DAVID B. WARFIELD, NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE INC., and PENN LYON HOMES CORPORATION,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
Defendants.		
NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE,		
Third-Party Plaintiff,		
-against-		
MICHAEL CONRAD, a/k/a MICHAEL CONRAD BROWN,	D	
Third-Party Defendant.		

CRAIG SHEINKER, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I am the President of Quantum Corporate Funding, Ltd. ("Quantum"), the plaintiff herein, have examined Quantum's records and have personal knowledge of the facts and circumstances hereinafter set forth and submit this Affidavit in support of Quantum's motion for summary judgment against defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation ("Penn") in the amount of \$112,168.28 together with interest from January 15, 2008.
- 2. Quantum seeks in this action to recover from defendant Penn the sum of \$112,168.28 of Quantum's monies which Penn either converted and/or was unjustly enriched by, when Quantum wired said sum in payment for the Pollydore's Residence

and Penn neither applied the monies to that purpose, nor shipped the goods, nor returned the monies to Quantum.

BACKGROUND

- Quantum is in the business of accounts receivable factoring.
 Headquartered in New York City, New York. Quantum is one of the largest purchasers of contractor receivables in the United States.
- 4. On or about January, 2007, Mr. and Mrs. Eustace Pollydore, as owners, entered into contracts with defendant Westwood Design/Build Incorporated ("Westwood"), as contractor, and with defendant National City Mortgage Inc. ("National") as construction lender, pursuant to which Westwood contracted to construct a new home for Mr. and Mrs. Pollydore at 6117 Elm Avenue, Lanham, Maryland (the "Pollydore Residence"). A copy of the Westwood/Pollydore contract is annexed hereto as Exhibit "A". A copy of National/Pollydore construct loan agreement is annexed hereto as Exhibit "B".

THE TRANSACTION

5. In 2007, defendant Westwood, by defendant David R. Warfield ("Warfield") approached Quantum to obtain cash by selling Quantum an account receivable. To implement this arrangement, Westwood, by Warfield, offered to assign to Quantum a \$347,000.00 invoice (the "Invoice") which it represented was monies due it from defendant National pursuant to its second draw-down of the Pollydore's construction loan. A copy of the Westwood Invoice to National is annexed hererto as Exhibit "C". The construction loan draw-down schedule is annexed as part of Exhibit "B".

- 6. Since Quantum has no independent way of knowing if the contractor offering to sell its accounts receivable has, in fact, performed work and furnished materials of the amount invoiced, Quantum contacts the account debtor, in this case the construction lender, defendant National, and requests from the account debtor, a written acknowledgment of and an estoppel certificate for the debt.
- 7. Accordingly, in December 2007, Quantum contacted defendant National's Branch Manager, Christopher Washburn, at National's office in Greenbelt, Maryland, and requested confirmation that the Invoice (Exhibit "C" hereto) was correct, forwarding to defendant National an estoppel certificate covering the Invoice.
- 8. In response, defendant National, by its Mr. Washburn, signed the estoppel certificate in the amount of the \$347,000.00 second draw-down of the Pollydore construction loan and returned it to Quantum. A copy of the estoppel certificate executed by defendant National, citing Westwood's Invoice, is annexed hereto as Exhibit "D".
- 9. In reliance upon defendant National's estoppel certificate, Quantum agreed to purchase the Invoice.
- 10. The assignment of the Invoice by Westwood to Quantum was made by an agreement entitled Purchase & Sale Agreement (the "Agreement"). A copy of the Agreement is annexed hereto as Exhibit "E".
- 11. Pursuant to the Agreement, on December 21, 2007, Quantum paid defendant Westwood \$242,900.00 for the Invoice pursuant to Westwood's, by defendant Warfield's, specific instructions, by wiring: (a) \$130,731.72 to Westwood and; (b) wiring \$112,168.28 to defendant Penn, in payment of Penn's invoice No. 850 representing the balance outstanding on its shipment of the "Pollydore Residence". A copy of Westwood's wiring instructions to Quantum is annexed hereto as Exhibit "F". A copy of

the Penn "Pollydore Residence" Invoice No. 850 is annexed hereto as Exhibit "G". A copy of Quantum's wire transfers to Westwood and to Penn are annexed hereto as Exhibit "H".

- 12. In early January 2008, Quantum contacted defendant National's main office in Miamisburg, Ohio to confirm that pursuant to the assignment payment of the Invoice would be made by National directly to Quantum, as assignor, rather than to assignee Westwood.
- 13. Additionally, Quantum attempted to contact defendant Westwood to advise it that if defendant National paid the Invoice to it, instead of to Quantum, that the payment was required to be turned over to Quantum.
- 14. However, despite several attempts to reach Westwood by telephone,

 Quantum was unable to made contact with Westwood and this raised concerns.

THE FRAUD AND PENN REFUSES TO RETURN THE PAYMENT OR SHIP THE POLLYDORE RESIDENCE

- 15. Quantum then contacted the Pollydores' and was advised by Mrs.

 Pollydore that not only had the residence not been set on the foundation, but that there was no foundation and that no materials had been delivered to the site.
- 16. After receiving this information, I contacted defendant Westwood's supplier, defendant Penn, to confirm that it had in fact shipped Mr. and Mrs. Pollydore's modular home for which Quantum had paid it.
- 17. Defendant Penn advised me on January 11, 2008 that: (a) it had never heard of Pollydores; (b) had not shipped the Pollydore Residence, and; (c) that the \$112,168.28 Penn invoice No. 850 (Exhibit "G") which Quantum had paid was ficticious.
- 18. On January 15, 2008, by e-mail, I demanded that defendant Penn return to Quantum the \$112,168.28 which Quantum had wired in payment for the Pollydore

Residence. A copy of my January 15, 2008 e-mail to Penn's President, David Reed, demanding the immediate return of the \$112,168.28 is annexed hereto as Exhibit "I".

- 19. Notwithstanding my aforesaid demand and further conversations between defendant Penn and Quantum's attorney, Charles A. Shea, III, Esq., of Wetzel, Caverly, Shea, Phillips & Rodriguez, Penn refused to either return the \$112,168.28 to Quantum or to ship the Pollydore Residence.
- 20. As a result, Quantum amended its Complaint herein to add Penn as a defendant asserting against it claims for conversion and unjust enrichment. A copy of Quantum's Corrected Amended Complaint is annexed hereto as Exhibit "J".
- 21. A copy of defendant Penn's Answer, wherein it admits in pertinent part that:
 - (a) "Penn Lyon has never entered into any contracts or transactions with Westwood or Warfield for the production and manufacture of modular custom-built home units to be delivered to 6117 Elm Street, Lanham, Maryland on property owned by Mr. and Mrs. Eustace Pollydore (the "Pollydore Project")" (para. 39); and
 - (b) On or about December 24, 2007, Penn Lyon received a wire transfer in the amount of \$112,168.12 (sic)..." (para. 41);

but denies that it either converted Quantum's \$112,168.28 transfer to it and/or was unjustly enriched by that transfer (paras. 16 and 18), is annexed hereto as Exhibit "K".

22. Notwithstanding defendant Penn's denials, the undisputed facts evidence that Quantum on December 21, 2007 wired \$112.168.28 from its account at Sterling National Bank to Penn Lyon's account at Omega Bank in payment for the "Pollydore Residence" and that at no time did Penn either credit that payment to the "Pollydore Project" (Exhibit "K" para. 39) or ship the Pollydore Residence or return Quantum's \$112.168.28, despite Quantum's demand for its return.

WHEREFORE, I respectfully request that the Court grant this motion, as well as such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Sworn to before me this

3.4 day of J.A., 2008 INTERPREDICT State of Learning Doubling in Westchestor Commission Express Man State of Learning Commission Expres

W:\bkobroff\Quantum\Westwood\Aff. in Sup. of Motion for Summary Judgment.doc

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 16 of 67

EXHIBIT D

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 17 of 67 Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK Document 37 Filed 07/08/2008 Page 16 of 50

Quantum Corporate Funding, Ltd.

140 Avenue of the Americas, 16th Floor New York, N.Y. 10036 Tel. 212 768-1200 800 352-2585 Faz 212 944-8216

12/18/07

Mr. Christopher Washburn Branch Manager National City Mortgage Company 7852 Walker Drive, Suite 400 Greebelt, MD 20770

Re: Our Transaction# Our Debtor#: 32772. 25914 Client: Westwood Design Build Incorporated

Our Client#: 5999

Controllers

Contract/

P n.#/Contract#

Joh# / Project# Project: Pollydors Residence. Construction Draw, Pollydore modula delivery-#0005351534. F.G.Date Invoice Inv.Date Terms Invoice Acount
10084 12/18/07 \$5 ----5147,000.00

4...,

******=\$347,000.00

Dear Mr. Washburn:

We are the assignee of payment of the above captioned company. Attached is a letter from it authorizing all payments on the captioned invoices to be sent directly and solely to Quantum Corporate Funding, Ltd.

The undersigned Account Obligor acknowledges to Quantum Corporate Funding, Ltd. that the above invoice Amount(s) are correct and owing by us; that the work and or merchandise has been ordered from and completed by the captioned Client, and accepted by us; that there are not now, nor will there be, any claims, setoffs, or defenses beyond 20% of the Invoice Amount(s); Neither Quantum nor its agents made any representations except as herein set forth. This estoppel is not subject to modification. New York law, jurisdiction and venue shall apply hereto.

Very truly yours,

Shelley Simmonds Senior Account Exec.

Agreed & Accepted

Authorized Signature

Account Obligor:

National City Mostgage Company

Print Name:

TOTAL P.02

Title

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 18 of 67

EXHIBIT E

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD

Plaintiff.

-against-

WESTWOOD DESIGN/BUILD INCORPORATED, DAVID R. WARFIELD, NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE, INC. and PENN LYON HOMES CORPORATION

Defendants.

Docket No. 08 CV 00539(LAK) (HP)

DEFENDANT'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD.

TO: Bernard Kobroff, Esq.
Goetz Fitzpatrick, LLP
One Penn Plaza, 44th Floor
New York, New York 10119
Attorney for Plaintiff
Ouantum Corporate Funding, Ltd.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby requests that plaintiff Quantum Corporate Funding, Ltd. answer the following interrogatories under oath and in the manner and time prescribed by Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Civil Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that these interrogatories are deemed to be continuing in nature so as to require supplemental answers within thirty days if the responding party becomes aware of new responsive information up to and including the time of trial of this action. These interrogatories are without prejudice to Penn Lyon Homes Corporation's right to serve supplemental interrogatories in accordance with Local Civil Rule 33.3.

- With regarding to each person whom Quantum Corporate Funding expects to call as an expert witness at trial, please state the qualifications of the expert, the subject matter on which the expert is expected to testify, the substance of the facts and opinions on which the expert is expected to testify, and a summary of the grounds for each opinion.
- 10. Set forth in detail all specific facts, not legal conclusions, upon which Quantum Corporate Funding relies to prove its allegations contained in paragraph 48 of Quantum's Corrected Amended Complaint that On December 21, 2007, defendants Westwood and Warfield unlawfully took \$112,168.28 of plaintiff Quantum's money, converted it to their own use and transferred it to defendant Penn allegedly in payment for supplies and materials used in the construction of the Project."
- 11. Set forth in detail all specific facts, not legal conclusions, upon which Quantum Corporate Funding relies to prove its allegation in paragraph 50 of its Corrected Amended Complaint that "In January 2008, plaintiff Quantum demanded that defendant Penn return the \$112,168.12 to Quantum, but Penn has refused and still refuses to return the \$112,168.12 to Quantum."
- 12. Set forth in detail all specific facts, not legal conclusions, upon which Quantum Corporate Funding relies to prove its allegation in paragraph 52 of Quantum's Corrected Amended Complaint that ". . . Quantum has sustained damages in the amount of \$112,168.28.
- 13. Set forth in detail all specific facts, not legal conclusions, upon which Quantum Corporate Funding relies to prove its allegation in paragraph 54 of Quantum's Corrected Amended Complaint that "Defendant Penn has been unjustly enriched as a result of the \$112,168.28 of plaintiff's Quantum monies transferred to it."
- 14. Describe in detail all steps taken by Quantum Corporate Funding to investigate Westwood Design/Build Incorporated and the status of Westwood's work in connection with the Pollydore project at 6117 Elm Street in Lanham, Maryland, prior to forwarding payment to Penn Lyon in the amount if \$112,168.28.
- 15. Describe in detail all documents received by Quantum from Westwood, Mr. Conrad, Mr. Warfield or the Pollydores referencing purported invoices from Penn Lyon regarding the Pollydore project.
- 16. As to each of these interrogatories, (a) state the names and titles, if any, of all individuals who participated or assisted in preparing or supplying any information given in answer to these interrogatories, or who prepared or supplied any answer, indicating the case of each such individual the specific interrogatory number for which he prepared or supplied any information or answer; and (b) unless described or identified elsewhere in the answers to these interrogatories, describe by means sufficient for identification, (i) all sources, documentary or human, consulted for purpose of preparing each answer; and (ii) all other sources of information, if any, used in preparing each such answer.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD

Plaintiff.

-against-

WESTWOOD DESIGN/BUILD INCORPORATED, DAVID R. WARFIELD, NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE, INC. and PENN LYON HOMES CORPORATION

Defendants.

Docket No. 08 CV 00539(LAK) (HP)

DEFENDANT'S FIRST SET OF DOCUMENT REQUESTS TO PLAINTIFF QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD.

TO: Bernard Kobroff, Esq.
Goetz Fitzpatrick, LLP
One Penn Plaza, 44th Floor
New York, New York 10119
Attorney for Plaintiff
Ouantum Corporate Funding, Ltd.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby requests that plaintiff Quantum Corporate Funding, Ltd. serve a written response to this First Set of Document Requests and produce the documents and items described below for discovery and inspection in the manner and time prescribed by Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Civil Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, at the offices of Bonner Kiernan Trebach & Crociata, LLP, Empire State Building, Suite 3304, New York, New York, 10018

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that these Document Requests are deemed to be continuing in nature so as to require supplemental responses up to and including the time of trial of this action.

Dated: New York, New York July 16, 2008

l)

Yours etc.,

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq.

BONNER KIERNAN TREBACH

& CROCIATA, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

Penn Lyon Homes Corporation State Building, Suite 3304

New York, New York 10118

(212) 268-7535

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. Please refer to Rule 26.3(c) and (d) of the Local Civil Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for uniform definitions applicable to the requests below.
- 2. Please refer to Rule 26.2 of the Local Civil Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for the information to be provided where a claim of privilege is asserted.
- 3. Pursuant to Rule 26(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Quantum Corporate Funding, Ltd., has a continuing duty to furnish additional and supplemental documents and responses as and where such further documents and responses become known or available between the time of the initial response thereunder and the time of hearing or trial in this proceeding.
- 4. "Plaintiff" of "Quantum" refers to plaintiff Quantum Corporate Funding, Ltd., together with all predecessors, affiliates, subsidiaries, parents and related entities.
- 5. "Defendant" of "Penn Lyon" refers to defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation, together with all predecessors, affiliates, subsidiaries, parents and related entities
- 6. "NCM" refers to defendant National City Mortgage, together with all predecessors, affiliates, subsidiaries, parents and related entities.
- 7. "Westwood" refers to defendant Westwood Design/Build Incorporated together with all predecessors, affiliates, subsidiaries, parents and related entities
- 8. "Warfield" refers to defendant David R. Warfield, together with his agents, servants and any employees authorized to act on his behalf.

9. "Conrad" refers to third-party defendant Michael Conrad a/k/a Michael Conrad Brown, together with all agents, servants and any employees authorized to act on his behalf.

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

- 1. All documents reflecting or concerning communications (whether oral or written) between Quantum and Penn Lyon.
- 2. All documents reflecting or concerning communications (whether oral or written) between Quantum and Westwood.
- 3. All documents reflecting or concerning communications (whether oral or written) between Quantum and NCM.
- 4. All documents reflecting or concerning communications (whether oral or written) between Quantum and Conrad.
- 5. All documents reflecting or concerning communications (whether oral or written) between Quantum and Warfield.
- 6. All documents reflecting or concerning communications (whether oral or written) between Quantum and June Pollydore or Eustace Pollydore.
- 7. Any and all documents reflecting or concerning communications (whether oral or written) between Quantum and any non-party to this litigation.
- 8. Any and all notes, memoranda, e-mail or other writings that record, summarize or otherwise reflect oral or written communications among employees of Quantum relating in any way to the subject matter of this litigation.
- 9. All documents concerning any, prayer for relief or defense in this action or upon which Quantum may rely in support of its claims in this action.

- 10 Any and all documents that Quantum contends establishes liability, responsibility or fault on the part of Penn Lyon.
- 11. All documents concerning Quantum's claims for damages against Penn Lyon as well as all documents relating to the method of calculation of damages.
- 12. Any and all documents referred to in Quantum's Corrected Amended Complaint.
- 13. Any and all documents identified in Quantum's responses to Penn Lyon's interrogatories.
- 14. Any and all documents referred to by Quantum in preparing its Answers to Penn Lyon's interrogatories.
- 15. All documents Quantum intends to use as evidence or exhibits at the trial of this case.
- 16. All reports and documents prepared by each expert which Quantum intends to use at the trial of this case, together with a current resume or curriculum vitae for each such expert.
- 17. Any and all documents provided by Quantum or its counsel to any and all experts expected to testify at trial on Quantum's behalf.
- 18. All documents that memorialize, reflect, relate or refer to any admissions and/or declarations against interests made by any party or witness.
- 19. All written or recorded statements (or notes of oral statements) by any party or non-party which refer or relate to the subject matter of this litigation.
- 20. All photographs, motion pictures, or video tapes which depict any object, site or thing relevant to this litigation.

- All sound recordings that record any conversation or voice of any party to 21. this litigation (or the representative of such party) or any conversation involving any nonparty which is relevant in any way to this litigation.
- All documents that Quantum has received or will receive pursuant to any 22. subpoena or authorizations in connection with this litigation.
- All documents that relate or refer to the allegation in paragraph 48 of 23. Quantum's Corrected Amended Complaint that On December 21, 2007, defendants Westwood and Warfield unlawfully took \$112,168.28 of plaintiff Quantum's money, converted it to their own use and transferred it to defendant Penn allegedly in payment for supplies and materials used in the construction of the Project."
- All documents that relate or refer to the allegation in paragraph 50 of 24. Quantum's Corrected Amended Complaint that "In January 2008, plaintiff Quantum demand that defendant Penn return the \$112,168.12 to Quantum, but Penn has refused and still refuses to return the \$112,168.12 to Quantum."
- All documents that relate or refer to any work and materials that Quantum 25. contends to have been furnished by Westwood to the Pollydores.
- All documents that relate or refer to the allegation in paragraph 52 of 26. Quantum's Corrected Amended Complaint that ". . . Quantum has sustained damages in the amount of \$112,168.28.
- All documents that relate or refer to the allegation in paragraph 54 of 27. Quantum's Corrected Amended Complaint that "Defendant Penn has been unjustly enriched as a result of the \$112,168.28 of plaintiff's Quantum monies transferred to it."

- Any and all documents that relates or refers to any steps taken by Quantum 28. to investigate Westwood and that status of Westwood's work in connection with the Pollydore project at 6117 Elm Street in Lanham, Maryland prior to forwarding payment to Penn Lyon in the amount if \$112,168.28.
- All documents provided to Quantum from Westwood referencing invoices 29. from Penn Lyon with respect to the Pollydore project.
- Any and all documents that relates or refers to any steps taken by Quantum 30. to investigate the materials that Westwood was using in connection with the Pollydore project at 6117 Elm Street in Lanham, Maryland prior to forwarding payment to Penn Lyon in the amount if \$112,168.28.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD

Plaintiff.

Docket No. 08 CV 00539(LAK) (HP)

-against-

WESTWOOD DESIGN/BUILD INCORPORATED, DAVID R. WARFIELD, NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE, INC. and PENN LYON HOMES CORPORATION

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF WILMER RUSSELL

Defendants.

NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE,

Third Party Plaintiff,

-against-

MICHAEL CONRAD, a/k/a MICHAEL CONRAD BROWN,

Third Party Defendant.

TO: Bernard Kobroff, Esq.
Goetz Fitzpatrick, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
One Penn Plaza
Suite 4401
New York, New York 10119

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, attorneys for defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation shall take the deposition upon oral examination of Wilmer Russell of Quantum Corporate Funding, before a person authorized to administer oaths, at Veritext, LLC, 1350 Broadway, Suite 1407, New York, New York 10018 on July 18, 2008 commencing at 10:00 a.m. The deposition will be recorded by stenographic means.

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP

Document 56

Filed 08/06/2008

Page 29 of 67

Dated: New York, New York

July 3, 2008

Yours etc.,

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq.
BONNER KIERNAN TREBACH
& CROCIATA, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation State Building, Suite 3304 New York, New York 10118

(212) 268-7535

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD

Plaintiff.

-against-

WESTWOOD DESIGN/BUILD INCORPORATED, DAVID R. WARFIELD, NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE, INC. and PENN LYON HOMES CORPORATION

Defendants.

NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE,

Third Party Plaintiff,

-against-

MICHAEL CONRAD, a/k/a MICHAEL CONRAD BROWN,

Third Party Defendant.

Docket No. 08 CV 00539(LAK) (HP)

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF CRAIG SHEINKER

TO: Bernard Kobroff, Esq.
Goetz Fitzpatrick, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
One Penn Plaza
Suite 4401
New York, New York 10119

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, attorneys for defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation shall take the deposition upon oral examination of Craig Sheinker, President of plaintiff Quantum Corporate Funding, before a person authorized to administer oaths, at the Veritext, LLC, 1350 Broadway New York, New York 10018 on July 30, 2008 commencing at 10:00 a.m. The deposition will be recorded by stenographic means.

Dated: New York, New York July 7, 2008 Yours etc.,

By: _

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq.
BONNER KIERNAN TREBACH
& CROCIATA, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Penn Lyon Homes Corporation
State Building, Suite 3304
New York, New York 10118

(212) 268-7535

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD

Plaintiff,

Docket No. 08 CV 00539(LAK) (HP)

-against-

WESTWOOD DESIGN/BUILD INCORPORATED, DAVID R. WARFIELD, NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE, INC. and PENN LYON HOMES CORPORATION

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF MARIA DESOUZA

Defendants.

NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE,

Third Party Plaintiff,

-against-

MICHAEL CONRAD, a/k/a MICHAEL CONRAD BROWN,

Defendants

TO: Bernard Kobroff, Esq.
Goetz Fitzpatrick, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
One Penn Plaza
Suite 4401
New York, New York 10119

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, attorneys for defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation shall take the deposition upon oral examination of Maria DeSouza, Account Executive of Quantum Corporate Funding, before a person authorized to administer oaths, at Veritext, LLC, 1350 Broadway, Suite 1407, New York, New York 10018 on July 18, 2008 commencing at 10:00 a.m. The deposition will be recorded by stenographic means.

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP

Document 56

Filed 08/06/2008

Page 33 of 67

Dated: New York, New York

July 3, 2008

Yours etc.,

By:

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq.

BONNER KIERNAN TREBACH

& CROCIATA, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

Penn Lyon Homes Corporation State Building, Suite 3304 New York, New York 10118

(212) 268-7535

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 34 of 67

EXHIBIT F



"Bernard Kobroff" <bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com> 07/11/2008 11:29 AM

To <TDoherty@McCarter.com>, <sgoldstein@bktc.net>

CC

bcc

Subject Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

History:

₽ This message has been replied to.



Phone: 212.695.8100 Fax: 212.629.4013 www.goetzfitz.com

I am in receipt of you respective deposition notices for Ms. DeSouza and Rudolph and Mr. Sheinker as well as NCM's interrogatories and document demands. The dates you have selected for the depositions do not work for either myself or for the Quantum people. Instead I propose that the depositions be conducted during the first week in August. In this context, given the Scheduling Order's 8/9/08 discovery cut-off date, I propose that we stipulate to extend that and all succeeding dates by 30 days.

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to Jon Kowalski (ikowalski@goetzfitz.com).

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 36 of 67

EXHIBIT G

Scott Goldstein/BKTC 07/14/2008 08:05 PM To "Bernard Kobroff" < bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com>

cc TDoherty@McCarter.com

bcc Melissa Thompkins/BKTC@BKTC

Subject Re: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

I have no objection to adjourning the depositions and I am available the first week in August. However, we need to work out a schedule for us to oppose your summary judgment motion a sufficient time after we have completed the depositions and/or paper discovery which I will be serving tomorrow. Let me know how you want to proceed.

Very truly yours,

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq. Bonner Kiernan Trebach & Crociata, LLP Empire State Building, Suite 3304 New York, NY 10118

Phone: (212) 268-7535 Fax: (212)268-4965

E-mail: sgoldstein@bktc.net

"Bernard Kobroff" < bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com>



"Bernard Kobroff" <bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com> 07/11/2008 11:29 AM

To <TDoherty@McCarter.com>, <sgoldstein@bktc.net>

CC

Subject Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

GP LLP

Bernard Kobroff Goetz Fitzpatrick One Penn Plaza, Suite 4401 New York, NY 10119 Phone: 212.695.8100 Fax: 212.629.4013 www.goetzfitz.com

I am in receipt of you respective deposition notices for Ms. DeSouza and Rudolph and Mr. Sheinker as well as NCM's interrogatories and document demands. The dates you have selected for the depositions do not work for either myself or for the Quantum people. Instead I propose that the depositions be conducted during the first week in August. In this context, given the Scheduling Order's 8/9/08 discovery cut-off date, I propose that we stipulate to extend that and

all succeeding dates by 30 days.

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to Jon Kowalski (ikowalski@qoetzfitz.com).

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 39 of 67

EXHIBIT H

Scott Goldstein/BKTC

To "Bernard Kobroff" < bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com>

07/21/2008 05:37 PM

cc bcc

Subject Re: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

Dear Bernard:

Just by way of follow up, we need an extension of time to file opposition to the motion for summary judgment. I again respectfully request that it be adjourned until after the depositions take place so that we have the benefit of having conducted some discovery to oppose the motion. Please let me know at your earliest convenience. Thanks!!

Very truly yours,

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq. Bonner Kiernan Trebach & Crociata, LLP Empire State Building, Suite 3304 New York, NY 10118 Phone: (212) 268-7535

Fax: (212) 268-4965

E-mail: sgoldstein@bktc.net

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 41 of 67

EXHIBIT I



"Bernard Kobroff" <bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com> 07/22/2008 03:12 PM

To "Doherty, Thomas F." <TDoherty@McCarter.com>,
<sqoldstein@bktc.net>

cc bcc

Subject RE: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

History:

This message has been replied to.

Bernard Kobroff Goetz Fitzpatrick One Penn Plaza, Suite 4401 New York, NY 10119 Phone: 212.695.8100 Fax: 212.629.4013

www.goetzfitz.com

I am amenable to your requests. However, Quantum recently moved and the documents regarding this action have been packed and now must be unpacked and this will probably not be done until next week, at which time it will respond to your respective demands and interrogatories.

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to Jon Kowalski (ikowalski@goetzfitz.com).

From: Doherty, Thomas F. [mailto:TDoherty@McCarter.com]

Sent: Friday, July 18, 2008 10:08 AM

To: Bernard Kobroff
Cc: SGoldstein@bktc.net

Subject: RE: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

Bernard,

I tried reaching you by phone the other day, but I understand from your message on Wednesday afternoon that you would be out of the office yesterday and today. I have no objection to adjourning the scheduled depositions of the Quantum witnesses until the first week of August, although I am still expecting plaintiff's responses to the written discovery demands in a timely fashion next week. I also have no objection to a joint request to the Court for an Amended Scheduling Order granting a 30-day extension of the August 9th discovery end date and a corresponding extension of the September 9th due date for s.j. motions, the pretrial order, jury instructions and requested voir dire questions.

Regarding your deposition notice for Christopher Washburn, I have a scheduling conflict on July 29th. Since the Quantum witness depositions were noticed first and would have been conducted today and Monday, I propose that Mr. Washburn's deposition be rescheduled for late in the first week of August, after the Quantum witness depositions are completed.

Perhaps we all should have a brief conference call on Monday to discuss these scheduling issues and approaching the Court for an extension.

-Tom Doherty

----Original Message----

From: SGoldstein@bktc.net [mailto:SGoldstein@bktc.net]

Sent: Monday, July 14, 2008 8:06 PM

To: Bernard Kobroff Cc: Doherty, Thomas F.

Subject: Re: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

I have no objection to adjourning the depositions and I am available the first week in August. However, we need to work out a schedule for us to oppose your summary judgment motion a sufficient time after we have completed the depositions and/or paper discovery which I will be serving tomorrow. Let me know how you want to proceed.

Very truly yours.

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq. Bonner Kiernan Trebach & Crociata, LLP Empire State Building, Suite 3304 New York, NY 10118 Phone: (212) 268-7535 Fax: (212)268-4965

E-mail: sgoldstein@bktc.net

"Bernard Kobroff" <bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com>

07/11/2008 11:29 AM

To<TDoherty@McCarter.com>, <sgoldstein@bktc.net>

SubjectQuantum v NCM, Penn Lyon



Bernard Kobroff Goetz Fitzpatrick One Penn Plaza, Suite 4401 New York, NY 10119 Phone: 212.695.8100

> Fax: 212.629.4013 www.goetzfitz.com

I am in receipt of you respective deposition notices for Ms. DeSouza and Rudolph and Mr. Sheinker as well as NCM's interrogatories and document demands. The dates you have selected for the depositions do not work for either myself or for the Quantum people. Instead I propose that the depositions be conducted during the first week in August. In this context, given the Scheduling Order's 8/9/08 discovery cut-off date, I propose that we stipulate to extend that and all succeeding dates by 30 days.

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to Jon Kowalski (ikowalski@goetzfitz.com).

This email message from the law firm of McCarter & English, LLP is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

EXHIBIT J



"Doherty, Thomas F." <TDoherty@McCarter.com> 07/24/2008 04:27 PM

To "Bernard Kobroff" < bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com>, sgoldstein@bktc.net

CC

bcc

Subject RE: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

History:

🖅 This message has been replied to.

Pursuant to my separate discussions with Bernard and Scott, I am attaching a proposed form of Amended Scheduling Order to which the parties would be stipulating. Please let me know if this form is acceptable or if you have any changes. If the form is acceptable to everyone, Scott and I should sign and forward it to Bernard so that he may sign and submit the proposed Order to Judge Kaplan for the Court's

----Original Message----

From: Bernard Kobroff [mailto:bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 3:12 PM **To:** Doherty, Thomas F.; sgoldstein@bktc.net

Subject: RE: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

GIP BE

Bernard Kobroff Goetz Fitzpatrick One Penn Plaza, Suite 4401 New York, NY 10119 Phone: 212.695,8100

Fax: 212.629.4013 www.goetzfitz.com

I am amenable to your requests. However, Quantum recently moved and the documents regarding this action have been packed and now must be unpacked and this will probably not be done until next week, at which time it will respond to your respective demands and interrogatories.

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to Jon Kowalski (ikowalski@qoetzfitz.com).

From: Doherty, Thomas F. [mailto:TDoherty@McCarter.com]

Sent: Friday, July 18, 2008 10:08 AM

To: Bernard Kobroff **Cc:** SGoldstein@bktc.net

Subject: RE: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

Bernard,

I tried reaching you by phone the other day, but I understand from your message on Wednesday afternoon that you would be out of the office yesterday and today. I have no objection to adjourning the scheduled depositions of the Quantum witnesses until the first week of August, although I am still expecting plaintiff's responses to the written discovery demands in a timely fashion next week. I also have no objection to a joint request to the Court for an Amended Scheduling Order granting a 30-day extension of the August 9th discovery end date and a corresponding extension of the September 9th due date for s.j. motions, the pretrial order, jury instructions and requested voir dire questions.

Regarding your deposition notice for Christopher Washburn, I have a scheduling conflict on July 29th. Since the Quantum witness depositions were noticed first and would have been conducted today and Monday, I propose that Mr. Washburn's deposition be rescheduled for late in the first week of August, after the Quantum witness depositions are completed.

Perhaps we all should have a brief conference call on Monday to discuss these scheduling issues and approaching the Court for an extension.

-Tom Doherty

----Original Message-----

From: SGoldstein@bktc.net [mailto:SGoldstein@bktc.net]

Sent: Monday, July 14, 2008 8:06 PM

To: Bernard Kobroff **Cc:** Doherty, Thomas F.

Subject: Re: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

I have no objection to adjourning the depositions and I am available the first week in August. However, we need to work out a schedule for us to oppose your summary judgment motion a sufficient time after we have completed the depositions and/or paper discovery which I will be serving tomorrow. Let me know how you want to proceed.

Very truly yours,

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq. Bonner Kiernan Trebach & Crociata, LLP Empire State Building, Suite 3304 New York, NY 10118 Phone: (212) 268-7535

Phone: (212) 268-7535 Fax: (212)268-4965

E-mail: sgoldstein@bktc.net

"Bernard Kobroff" <bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com>

07/11/2008 11:29 AM

To<TDoherty@McCarter.com>, <sgoldstein@bktc.net> cc SubjectQuantum v NCM, Penn Lyon



Bernard Kobroff Goetz Fitzpatrick One Penn Plaza, Suite 4401 New York, NY 10119 Phone: 212.695.8100

Fax: 212.629.4013

I am in receipt of you respective deposition notices for Ms. DeSouza and Rudolph and Mr. Sheinker as well as NCM's interrogatories and document demands. The dates you have selected for the depositions do not work for either myself or for the Quantum people. Instead I propose that the depositions be conducted during the first week in August. In this context, given the Scheduling Order's 8/9/08 discovery cut-off date, I propose that we stipulate to extend that and all succeeding dates by 30 days.

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to Jon Kowalski (ikwalski@goetzfitz.com).

This email message from the law firm of McCarter & English, LLP is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

This email message from the law firm of McCarter & English, LLP is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original

message. Quantum-Nat City - Amended Scheduling Order, DOC

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 50 of 67

EXHIBIT K

Scott Goldstein/BKTC 07/24/2008 06:21 PM

To "Doherty, Thomas F." <TDoherty@McCarter.com>
cc "Bernard Kobroff"

bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com>

bcc

Subject RE: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

Shouldn't we have something in there specifically addressing the currently pending SJ motion or does the paragraph dealing with SJ motions pertain to it?

Very truly yours,

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq. Bonner Kiernan Trebach & Crociata, LLP Empire State Building, Suite 3304 New York, NY 10118 Phone: (212) 268-7535

Fax: (212)268-4965

E-mail: sgoldstein@bktc.net

"Doherty, Thomas F." <TDoherty@McCarter.com>



"Doherty, Thomas F." <TDoherty@McCarter.com> 07/24/2008 04:27 PM

To "Bernard Kobroff" < bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com>, sgoldstein@bktc.net

CC

Subject RE: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

Pursuant to my separate discussions with Bernard and Scott, I am attaching a proposed form of Amended Scheduling Order to which the parties would be stipulating. Please let me know if this form is acceptable or if you have any changes. If the form is acceptable to everyone, Scott and I should sign and forward it to Bernard so that he may sign and submit the proposed Order to Judge Kaplan for the Court's consideration.

----Original Message----

From: Bernard Kobroff [mailto:bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 3:12 PM

To: Doherty, Thomas F.; sgoldstein@bktc.net **Subject:** RE: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

Bernard Kobroff
Goetz Fitzpatrick
One Penn Plaza, Suite 4401
New York, NY 10119

Phone: 212.695.8100 Fax: 212.629.4013 www.goetzfitz.com

I am amenable to your requests. However, Quantum recently moved and the documents regarding this action have been packed and now must be unpacked and this will probably not be done until next week, at which time it will respond to your respective demands and interrogatories.

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to Jon Kowalski (ikowalski@goetzfitz.com).

From: Doherty, Thomas F. [mailto:TDoherty@McCarter.com]

Sent: Friday, July 18, 2008 10:08 AM

To: Bernard Kobroff **Cc:** SGoldstein@bktc.net

Subject: RE: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

Bernard.

I tried reaching you by phone the other day, but I understand from your message on Wednesday afternoon that you would be out of the office yesterday and today. I have no objection to adjourning the scheduled depositions of the Quantum witnesses until the first week of August, although I am still expecting plaintiff's responses to the written discovery demands in a timely fashion next week. I also have no objection to a joint request to the Court for an Amended Scheduling Order granting a 30-day extension of the August 9th discovery end date and a corresponding extension of the September 9th due date for s.j. motions, the pretrial order, jury instructions and requested voir dire questions.

Regarding your deposition notice for Christopher Washburn, I have a scheduling conflict on July 29th. Since the Quantum witness depositions were noticed first and would have been conducted today and Monday, I propose that Mr. Washburn's deposition be rescheduled for late in the first week of August, after the Quantum witness depositions are completed.

Perhaps we all should have a brief conference call on Monday to discuss these scheduling issues and approaching the Court for an extension.

----Original Message----

From: SGoldstein@bktc.net [mailto:SGoldstein@bktc.net]

Sent: Monday, July 14, 2008 8:06 PM

To: Bernard Kobroff Cc: Doherty, Thomas F.

Subject: Re: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon

I have no objection to adjourning the depositions and I am available the first week in August. However, we need to work out a schedule for us to oppose your summary judgment motion a sufficient time after we have completed the depositions and/or paper discovery which I will be serving tomorrow. Let me know how you want to proceed.

Very truly yours,

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq. Bonner Kiernan Trebach & Crociata, LLP Empire State Building, Suite 3304 New York, NY 10118 Phone: (212) 268-7535

Fax: (212)268-4965 E-mail: sgoldstein@bktc.net

"Bernard Kobroff" < bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com>

07/11/2008 11:29 AM

To<TDoherty@McCarter.com>, <sgoldstein@bktc.net> SubjectQuantum v NCM, Penn Lyon



New York, NY 10119 Phone: 212.695,8100 Fax: 212.629.4013 www.goetzfitz.com

I am in receipt of you respective deposition notices for Ms. DeSouza and Rudolph and Mr. Sheinker as well as NCM's interrogatories and document demands. The dates you have selected for the depositions do not work for either myself or for the Quantum people. Instead I propose that the depositions be conducted during the first week in August. In this context, given the Scheduling Order's 8/9/08 discovery cut-off date, I propose that we stipulate to extend that and all succeeding dates by 30 days.

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to Jon Kowalski (kwwalski@goetzfitz.com).

This email message from the law firm of McCarter & English, LLP is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

This email message from the law firm of McCarter & English, LLP is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original

message. Quantum-Nat City - Amended Scheduling Order. DOC

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 55 of 67

EXHIBIT L

Empire State Building
Suite 3304
New York, NY 10118
Telephone: (212) 268-7535
Facsimile: (212) 268-4965
bktc@bktc.net
www.bktc.net

BONNER KIERNAN TREBACH & CROCIATA.LL

Connecticut
Maryland
Massachusetts
New Jersey
New York
Pennsylvania
Rhode Island
Virginia
Washington, DC

July 29, 2008

By Facsimile (212) 629-4013 and Regular Mail

Bernard Kobroff, Esq. Goetz Fitzpatrick, LLP One Penn Plaza, Suite 4401 New York, New York 10119

Re:

Quantum v. Penn Lyon Homes Corporation, et al

Docket No.: 08 CV 00539 (LAK) (HP)

BKT&C No.: 0899,0006

Dear Mr. Kobroff:

We represent defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation ("Penn Lyon") in the above matter.

On July 21, 2008, we sent you an e-mail correspondence requesting that we first conduct discovery (respond to document demands and conduct depositions) before we responded to your motion for summary judgment. We received an e-mail response from you vaguely stating that you were amenable to our request to conduct discovery prior to filing our response to your motion for summary judgment.

In that regard, we should include something in the Amended Scheduling Order addressing the pending summary judgment motion. Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours.

Scott H. Goldstein

SHG/mt

cc: Thomas F. Doherty, Esq. via facsimile (973) 297-6612 and regular mail

Scott Goldstein/BKTC 07/29/2008 04:51 PM

To "Bernard Kobroff" < bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com>

cc "Doherty, Thomas F." <TDoherty@McCarter.com>

bcc Melissa Thompkins/BKTC@BKTC

Subject RE: Quantum v NCM, Penn Lyon - status of Amended

Scheduling Order

Dear Bernard:

In furtherance of Tom's request to address the Amended Scheduling Order, I just faxed a letter to you to see if we can discuss putting anything in the Scheduling Order regarding a briefing schedule for your pending SJ motion or however you want to deal with it vis a vis the upcoming depositions and discovery responses.

I'll be available all morning tomorrow to discuss.

Very truly yours,

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq. Bonner Kiernan Trebach & Crociata, LLP Empire State Building, Suite 3304 New York, NY 10118 Phone: (212) 268-7535

Fax: (212)268-4965

E-mail: sgoldstein@bktc.net

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 58 of 67

EXHIBIT M



"Bernard Kobroff" <bkobroff@GoetzFitz.com> 07/30/2008 04:43 PM

To <SGoldstein@bktc.net>

cc "Doherty, Thomas F." <TDoherty@McCarter.com>

bcc

Subject FW: Scanned document from Administrator/ PLH

History:

This message has been replied to.



Bernard Kobroff
Goetz Fitzpatrick
One Penn Plaza, Suite 4401
New York, NY 10119
Phone: 212.695.8100
Fax: 212.629.4013
www.goetzfitz.com

Herewith is the Stipulation

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to Jon Kowalski (ikowalski@goetzfitz.com).

From: Administrator

Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2008 4:41 PM

To: Bernard Kobroff

Subject: Scanned document from Administrator



Civil Action No. 08-cv-0539

STIPULATION EXTENDING

TIME TO OPPOSE MOTION

FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

(LAK) (HBP)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD., Plaintiff. VS. WESTWOOD DESIGN/BUILD INCORPORATED, DAVID R. WARFIELD, NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE INC., and PENN LYON HOMES CORPORATION, Defendants. NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE, Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. MICHAEL CONRAD, a/k/a MICHAEL CONRAD BROWN,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the undersigned attorneys for the Plaintiff Quantum Corporate Funding, Ltd and Defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation ("PLH"), that the time within which the said Defendant PLH may file opposition to plaintiff's summary judgment is extended until September 5, 2008 to allow for sufficient discovery to be completed.

Third-Party Defendant.

Dated: New York, New York July 30, 2008

SO STIPULATED & AGREED:

GOETZ NIZPATRICK, LLP

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

By:

Bernard Kobroff, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff Ву;

Thomas F. Doherty, Esq. Attorney for Defendant/ Third-Party Plaintiff National City Mortgage

BONNER KIERNAN TREBACH

& CROCIATA LLP

By:

Scott H. Goldstein, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corp. Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 62 of 67

EXHIBIT N

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
QUANTUM CORPORATE FUNDING, LTD.,	۱

Plaintiff.

-against-

08 Civ. 0539 (LAK)

WESTWOOD DESIGN/BUILD, INC., et ano,

Defendants.

ORDER

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, District Judge.

Plaintiff moves for summary judgment awarding it the sum of \$112,168.28 together with interest thereon from January 25, 2008 as against defendant Penn Lyon Homes Corporation ("Penn"). Penn has not responded to the motion although the time within which to do so has expired.

In these circumstances, the well supported averments of plaintiff's Rule 56.1 Statement are deemed admitted. S.D.N.Y. CIV. R. 56.1(c). It therefore is plain that there is no disputed issue as to any material fact and that plaintiff is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion [DI 37] is granted in all respects.

As this resolves all of plaintiff's claims against Penn and any delay in the finality and enforceability of its judgment against Penn would work an undue hardship, the Court hereby determines that there is no just reason for delay and directs the Clerk to enter final judgment in favor of plaintiff and against Penn in accordance with this order.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

July 30, 2008

DI GREENY
DICEMENT
ELECTRONICALE TREP
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 2/30/08

Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge

Case 1:08-cv-00539-LAK-HBP Document 56 Filed 08/06/2008 Page 64 of 67

EXHIBIT O

Empire State Building
Suite 3304
New York, NY 10118
Telephone: (212) 268-7535
Facsimile: (212) 268-4965
bktc@bktc.net
www.bktc.net

BONNER KIERNAN TREBACH & CROCIATA, LLP

Connecticut
Maryland
Massachusetts
New Jersey
New York
Pennsylvania
Rhode Island
Virginia
Washington, DC

Via hand delivery

July 31, 2008

Hon, Lewis A. Kaplan, USDJ Daniel P, Moynihan U.S. Courthouse, Rm. 1310 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007-1312

Re:

Quantum v. Penn Lyon Homes Corporation, et al

Docket No.: 08 CV 00539 (LAK) (HP)

Dear Judge Kaplan:

We represent Penn Lyon Homes Corporation in the above matter.

We recently filed a fully executed Stipulation dated July 30, 2008 extending Penn Lyon's time to file opposition to plaintiff Quantum Corporate Funding, Ltd's summary judgment motion until September 5, 2008, so that the parties could complete some needed discovery. However, before we had an opportunity to file the Stipulation with the Court, we received notification that plaintiff's motion was granted on default. We respectfully request that the Court So Order the submitted Stipulation and that the Court's order be vacated on the grounds that the parties had already agreed to an extension of time for Penn Lyon to file opposition to the motion and that there was excusable neglect for the slight delay in filing the Stipulation for the reasons set forth below. In the alternative, we respectfully request that the Court schedule a conference to address the issues raised below in this letter.

As this Court is aware, on July 8, 2008, Quantum filed a summary judgment motion against Penn Lyon in this action. Indeed, the motion was filed prior to any discovery taking place. Within a day or two of receiving the motion, we contacted plaintiff's counsel by telephone and requested an adjournment of the motion to complete some needed discovery before we were required to file our opposition. Plaintiff's counsel advised that he needed to consult with his client before granting our request.

On July 3, 2008, we served plaintiff with Notices for the Depositions of Wilmer Russell, Maria DeSouza and Craig Sheinker of Quantum for dates in July, 2008. Then, on July 16, 2008, we served plaintiff with Penn Lyon's first set of interrogatory demands and First Set of Document Requests.

Upon receipt of our deposition notices and co-defendant National's deposition notices, on July 11, 2008, plaintiff advised us, by e-mail, that his clients would not be able to attend depositions on the noticed dates and requested that the depositions be rescheduled. Plaintiff also requested that the Amended Scheduling Order be extended by 30 days.

Having not heard back from plaintiff about our request for an extension of time. on July 14, 2008, we responded to plaintiff's July 11, 2008 e-mail by sending counsel an e-mail correspondence agreeing to adjourn the deposition and requesting that we enter into a briefing schedule to adjourn the pending motion to allow Penn Lyon sufficient time to complete the outstanding discovery before filing its opposition. Apparently, soon after we sent our e-mail, plaintiff's counsel was out of the office until July 21, 2008 and did not respond to our request for a briefing schedule/adjournment during that entire week.

Realizing that our time to get a Stipulation into the court was running short, on July 21, 2008, we followed up with plaintiff's counsel's office by e-mail requesting a response to our adjournment request. Finally, on July 22, 2008, via e-mail correspondence, plaintiff agreed to extend Penn Lyon's time to answer the motion and allow discovery to go forward. However, in this e-mail, plaintiff's counsel was still unable to provide us with dates when Quantum's witnesses could be produced for deposition. Therefore, at that time, it was impossible for us to choose an appropriate deadline for the filing of defendants' opposition papers, because we did not yet know how much time would be needed to allow sufficient time to us to obtain and examine the deposition transcripts prior to filing our opposition to the motion.

On July 24, 2008, co-defendant National circulated a proposed Amended Scheduling Order via e-mail which sought to extend all existing dates in the initial scheduling order by one month. In response to National's e-mail, we sent e-mail correspondence to plaintiff's counsel and National's counsel reiterating the need for a briefing schedule for the pending summary judgment motion and suggesting that it be included in the Amended Scheduling Order.

Plaintiff again did not respond to our e-mail about our request for a briefing schedule, so, as a last ditch effort, on July 29, 2008, we sent a letter to plaintiff's counsel via facsimile and a separate message via e-mail again requesting that we agree on a briefing schedule for the motion and to include said schedule in the Amended Scheduling Order. On July 30, 2008, in the late afternoon, plaintiff's counsel finally responded to us, agreed to the terms of the recently filed stipulation extending plaintiff's time to file opposition to plaintiff's summary judgment motion in order to conduct discovery until September 5, 2008 and executed the Stipulation.

At approximately 5:00 P.M. plaintiff sent us the executed stipulation via e-mail. However, before we could e-file the Stipulation, the Court notified us via e-filing notification that plaintiff's summary judgment motion had been granted on default. Now that the motion was granted on default, we contacted plaintiff and requested that he enter

into a Stipulation vacating the default judgment. However, in one day, plaintiff's position has changed and counsel has refused to consent to vacatur of the Order.

Critically, the parties, most notably Penn Lyon, had been actively working on a briefing schedule and an adjournment of the motion for several weeks and plaintiff actually executed a Stipulation extending Penn Lyon's time to respond to the motion. Penn Lyon did not ignore the pending summary judgment motion at any time and took numerous steps to finalize a briefing schedule for the motion.

On these bases, we respectfully request, in the interests of justice and fairness that the Court so Order the agreed upon Stipulation extending Penn Lyon's time to file opposition to plaintiff's motion for summary judgment and vacate the currently entered Order granting plaintiff's motion for summary judgment on default without the necessity of a motion. In the alternative, we request that the Court schedule a conference to address the issues raised in this letter. Indeed, as previously discussed, it is undisputed that plaintiff agreed to adjourn the motion to allow us to conduct discovery, the only issue pertains to the slight delay in filing the Stipulation because plaintiff did not respond to our numerous requests for a briefing schedule to include in the Stipulation. We could not finalize a briefing schedule until depositions were scheduled and the depositions were not scheduled until late yesterday.

Notwithstanding this letter we will be also be filing a formal motion seeking reconsideration of the Order pursuant to Rule 59(e) and and/or vacatur of the default pursuant to Rule 55(c) and Rule 60(b) 1-6 under separate cover.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott H. Goldstein (SG 8333)

Enclosure

Thomas F. Doherty, Esq. cc:

Bernard Kobroff, Esq.